FE 0 5 200 E

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Decket No. M4065-0176/P170

Application No.	Filing Date	Examiner	Artenit
09/354,302	July 16, 1999	A. Luu	2816

Applicant(s): Christopher K. Morzano

Invention: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR ADJUSTING CLOCK SKEW

2800

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

The fee has been calculated and is transmitted as shown below.

		CLAIM	S AS AMENI	DED	
	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid	Number Extra Claims Present	Rate	
Total Claims	98	- 98 =		x	0.00
ndependent Claims	10	- 10 =		X	0.00
Multiple Depend	dent Claims (ch	eck if applicabl	e)		
Other fee (pleas	se specify):				
TOTAL ADDIT	0.00				
x Large Entity	ntity				
X No additiona	al fee is require	d for this amer	ndment.		
=					ı.
	ge Deposit Acc copy of this she			the amount of	· \$
igcap A check in th	ne amount of \$		to cover	the filing fee is	enclosed.
Payment by	credit card. Fo	orm PTO-2038	is attached.		
	ssioner is heret d below. A dup				Account No. 04-1073
x Credit a	ny overpaymer	ıt.			
x Charge	any additional fili	ng or applicatio	n processing t	ees required un	der 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17.
M				Dated:	February 4, 2003
Thomas J. D'Ar Attorney Reg. N				_	
DICKSTEIN SH 2101 L Street N Washington, D0 (202) 828-2232	C 20037-1526	N & OSHINSK	Y LLP		



PATENT

Group Art Unit: 2816

Examiner: A. Luu

Docket No.: M4065.0176/P176

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Christopher Morzano

Serial No.: 09/354,302

Filed: July 16, 1999

For: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR

ADJUSTING CLOCK SKEW

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

#U/Response Andam 2/27/03

RECEIVED PEB 10 2003 FEB 10 2003

<u>AMENDMENT</u>

Dear Sir:

The following remarks are in response to the Office Action dated November 5, 2002:

REMARKS

The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action. Claims 1-56 and 82-98 are pending in the application. Claims 38-56 are allowed. Claims 1-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, paragraph 2, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-7, 16-19, 82 and 86-90 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by *Makihara* et al. (US Pat. No. 5,243,573). Claims 8, 11-13, 20, 23-33, 36-37, 83-85 and 91-98 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable